Robot Control: Motion Control with Forces or Torques

CS 6301 Special Topics: Introduction to Robot Manipulation and Navigation Professor Yu Xiang The University of Texas at Dallas

NIN

Robot Control

- Convert task specifications to force and torques at the actuators
- Types
 - Motion control
 - Force control
 - Hybrid motion-force control
 - Impedance control
- Feedback control
 - Use sensors for position, velocity and force
 - Compare with the desired behavior to compute the control signals

Control System Overview

• A simplified system



Motion Control with Velocity Inputs

- Motion control with velocity inputs
 - Given a desired trajectory of a robot in joint space or in task space
 - Direct control of the joint velocities
- Limited to applications with low or predictable force-torque requirements
- Do not make use of a dynamic model of the robot

Motion Control with Torque or Force Inputs

- Controller generates joint torques and forces to track a desired trajectory
- Motion Control of a single joint

 $\mathfrak{m}q$

Dynamics
$$\tau = M\ddot{\theta} + \mathfrak{m}gr\cos\theta$$

Scalar inertia mass
Friction torque $\tau_{\mathrm{fric}} = b\dot{\theta}$
 $\tau = M\ddot{\theta} + \mathfrak{m}gr\cos\theta + b\dot{\theta}$
 $\tau = M\ddot{\theta} + h(\theta, \dot{\theta})$

Motion Control of a Single Joint

- Feedback control: PID control
 - Proportional-Integral-Derivative control

$$\tau = K_p \theta_e + K_i \int \theta_e(t) dt + K_d \dot{\theta}_e \qquad \theta_e = \theta_d - \theta$$



Yu Xiang

PD Control

- Dynamics $\tau = M\ddot{\theta} + \mathfrak{m}gr\cos\theta + b\dot{\theta}$
- PD control law $K_p(\theta_d \theta) + K_d(\dot{\theta}_d \dot{\theta})$ Assume g = 0

$$M\ddot{\theta} + b\dot{\theta} = K_p(\theta_d - \theta) + K_d(\dot{\theta}_d - \dot{\theta})$$

Control objective: constant $\theta_d \quad \dot{\theta}_d = \ddot{\theta}_d = 0$

$$\theta_e = \theta_d - \theta \qquad \dot{\theta}_e = -\dot{\theta} \qquad \ddot{\theta}_e = -\ddot{\theta}$$

Error dynamics

$$M\ddot{\theta}_e + (b + K_d)\dot{\theta}_e + K_p\theta_e = 0$$

PD Control

• Standard second-order form

PD Control

• When g > 0, the error dynamics

$$M\ddot{\theta}_e + (b + K_d)\dot{\theta}_e + K_p\theta_e = \mathfrak{m}gr\cos\theta$$

When the joint comes to rest at a configuration θ , $K_p \theta_e = \mathfrak{m} gr \cos \theta$ the final error θ_e is nonzero when $\theta_d \neq \pm \pi/2$

Non-zero steady-state error

PID Control

• Setpoint error dynamics

$$\begin{split} M\ddot{\theta}_e + (b + K_d)\dot{\theta}_e + K_p\theta_e + K_i \int \theta_e(\mathbf{t})d\mathbf{t} &= \tau_{\rm dist} \\ & \text{Disturbance torque} \\ \mathbf{m}gr\cos\theta \end{split}$$

Taking derivatives

$$M\theta_e^{(3)} + (b + K_d)\ddot{\theta}_e + K_p\dot{\theta}_e + K_i\theta_e = \dot{\tau}_{\rm dist}$$

Third-Order Error Dynamics $s^3 + \frac{b + K_d}{M}s^2 + \frac{K_p}{M}s + \frac{K_i}{M} = 0$ If au_{dist} Constant

> If all roots have a negative real part, then the error dynamics is stable, and θ_e converges to zero

PID Control



PID Control

```
time = 0
                              // dt = servo cycle time
eint = 0
                              // error integral
                              // initial joint angle q
qprev = senseAngle
loop
  [qd,qdotd] = trajectory(time) // from trajectory generator
 q = senseAngle
                 // sense actual joint angle
 qdot = (q - qprev)/dt // simple velocity calculation
 qprev = q
 e = qd - q
  edot = qdotd - qdot
  eint = eint + e*dt
  tau = Kp*e + Kd*edot + Ki*eint
  commandTorque(tau)
 time = time + dt
end loop
```

Feedforward Control

- Uses the dynamics of the robot
- The controller's model of the dynamics

$$\tau = \tilde{M}(\theta)\ddot{\theta} + \tilde{h}(\theta,\dot{\theta})$$

 $\tilde{M}(\theta) = M(\theta) \text{ and } \tilde{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = h(\theta, \dot{\theta})$ if the model is perfect

• Given θ_d , $\dot{\theta}_d$, and $\ddot{\theta}_d$

Feedforward torque $\tau(t) = \tilde{M}(\theta_d(t))\ddot{\theta}_d(t) + \tilde{h}(\theta_d(t), \dot{\theta}_d(t))$

The dynamics model of the controller cannot be perfect in practice

Feedforward Plus Feedback Linearization

• Goal: achieve the following error dynamics

$$\ddot{\theta}_e + K_d \dot{\theta}_e + K_p \theta_e + K_i \int \theta_e(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} = c$$

A PID controller can achieve exponential decay of the trajectory error

• We first choose
$$\ddot{\theta} = \ddot{\theta}_d - \ddot{\theta}_e$$
 $\ddot{\theta} = \ddot{\theta}_d + K_d \dot{\theta}_e + K_p \theta_e + K_i \int \theta_e(t) dt$

• Feedforward plus feedback linearizing controller (inverse dynamics controller, computed torque controller)

$$\tau = \tilde{M}(\theta) \left(\ddot{\theta}_d + K_p \theta_e + K_i \int \theta_e(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} + K_d \dot{\theta}_e \right) + \tilde{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta})$$

Feedforward Plus Feedback Linearization

$$\tau = \tilde{M}(\theta) \left(\ddot{\theta}_d + K_p \theta_e + K_i \int \theta_e(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} + K_d \dot{\theta}_e \right) + \tilde{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta})$$



Feedforward Plus Feedback Linearization



Motion Control of a Multi-joint Robot

• Dynamics
$$au = M(heta) \ddot{ heta} + h(heta, \dot{ heta})$$

 $n \times n$

- Decentralized control
 - Each joint is controlled independently
 - When dynamics are decoupled
- Centralized control
 - Full state information for each of the n joints is available to calculate the controls for each joint

Centralized Multi-joint Control

Computed torque controller

$$\tau = \tilde{M}(\theta) \left(\ddot{\theta}_d + K_p \theta_e + K_i \int \theta_e(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} + K_d \dot{\theta}_e \right) + \tilde{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta})$$

 $K_p, K_i, K_d\;$ positive-definite matrices

We choose the gain matrices as

$$k_p I$$
, $k_i I$, and $k_d I$

PID control and gravity compensation

When the model is not good

$$\tau = K_p \theta_e + K_i \int \theta_e(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} + K_d \dot{\theta}_e + \tilde{g}(\theta)$$

• Motion as a trajectory of the end-effector in the task space

$$(X(t),\mathcal{V}_b(t))$$
 $X\in SE(3)$ $[\mathcal{V}_b]=X^{-1}\dot{X}$ Twist

- Option 1: convert the trajectory to joint space
 - Forward kinematics $X = T(\theta)$ $\mathcal{V}_b = J_b(\theta)\dot{\theta}$
 - Inverse kinematics

$$\theta(t) = T^{-1}(X(t)),$$

$$\dot{\theta}(t) = J_b^{\dagger}(\theta(t))\mathcal{V}_b(t),$$

$$\ddot{\theta}(t) = J_b^{\dagger}(\theta(t))\left(\dot{\mathcal{V}}_b(t) - \dot{J}_b(\theta(t))\dot{\theta}(t)\right)$$

may require significant computing power

• Task-space dynamics

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}} = J(\theta)\dot{\theta}$$

 $\dot{\mathcal{V}} = \dot{J}(\theta)\dot{\theta} + J(\theta)\ddot{\theta}$
 $\dot{\mathcal{H}} = J^{-1}\dot{\mathcal{V}},$
 $\ddot{\theta} = J^{-1}\dot{\mathcal{V}} - J^{-1}\dot{J}J^{-1}\mathcal{V}$
Dynamics
 $\tau = M(\theta)\dot{\theta} + h(\theta, \dot{\theta})$
 $\tau = M(\theta)\left(J^{-1}\dot{\mathcal{V}} - J^{-1}\dot{J}J^{-1}\mathcal{V}\right) + h(\theta, J^{-1}\mathcal{V})$
 $J^{-T}\tau = J^{-T}MJ^{-1}\dot{\mathcal{V}} - J^{-T}MJ^{-1}\dot{J}J^{-1}\mathcal{V}$
 $+ J^{-T}h(\theta, J^{-1}\mathcal{V}).$

Section 8.6 in Modern Robotics

• Task-space dynamics

$$\mathcal{F} = \Lambda(\theta)\dot{\mathcal{V}} + \eta(\theta, \mathcal{V})$$

$$\Lambda(\theta) = J^{-T}M(\theta)J^{-1},$$

$$\eta(\theta, \mathcal{V}) = J^{-T}h(\theta, J^{-1}\mathcal{V}) - \Lambda(\theta)\dot{J}J^{-1}\mathcal{V}.$$

• Option 2: task-space dynamics

$$\mathcal{F}_b = \Lambda(\theta) \dot{\mathcal{V}}_b + \eta(\theta, \mathcal{V}_b)$$

• Joint forces and torques

$$\tau = J_b^{\mathrm{T}}(\theta) \mathcal{F}_b$$

• Computed torque controller

$$\tau = J_b^{\mathrm{T}}(\theta) \left(\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta) \left(\frac{d}{dt} ([\mathrm{Ad}_{X^{-1}X_d}] \mathcal{V}_d) + K_p X_e + K_i \int X_e(t) dt + K_d \mathcal{V}_e \right) + \tilde{\eta}(\theta, \mathcal{V}_b) \right)$$

Summary

- Motion control with torque or force Inputs
 - PID control
 - Computed torque control
- Task-space motion control

Further Reading

• Chapter 11 in Kevin M. Lynch and Frank C. Park. Modern Robotics: Mechanics, Planning, and Control. 1st Edition, 2017.